

C/F 1401

(8)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR.

Writ Petition No. 1584 /2002.

Santosh Kumar Sharma
S/o Late Shri Brij Bhushan Bhatt
@ Bhukhan Prasad,
Aged about 36 years
R/o - Ring Road No.2
Hirapur
District - Raipur (C.G.) ... Petitioner.

Versus

(1) Chief General Manager
Chhattisgarh State Industrial
Development Corporation Ltd.
Head Office - B-4 - M.R.Colony
Raipur,
Office at - Urla - Near Urla Thana
District - Raipur (C.G.).

(2) State of Chhattisgarh
Secretary - Ministry of
Industrial Affair,
D.K.S. Bhawan
Mantralaya,
Raipur (C.G.) ... Respondents.

P.R. No. 1588/02
Presented by Shri Ajay Sharma
dated 0.5.8.02

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227
OF INDIAN CONSTITUTION.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

571

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.NO.1584 सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

18.10.2002

Shri Kishore Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Ranbir Singh, GA for the State.

Heard.

The case of the petitioner is that the land of the petitioner was acquired by the Authorities and compensation under the provisions of Land Acquisition Act, has also been paid to him. It is the further case of the petitioner that he was given an oral assurance that in case of any bonafide need he will be allotted another piece of land for running his business. Accordingly, the petitioner made an application for allotment of land admeasuring 7812 sq.ft., and the said application was entertained but ultimately rejected on the ground that a prior application for allotment of land in question was already pending before the Authorities. The case of the petitioner is that as he was given an assurance that the land would be allotted to him by the Authorities, he had fenced the area with barbed wire, and therefore, the Authorities were not justified in rejecting the application for allotment of land, more so when he was a green card holder.

— 2 —

[पीछे देखिये

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel, the Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition. There is no document on record to indicate that any such promise was made to the petitioner for allotment of a particular piece of land or for that matter, any other land. That apart, in absence of any allotment order in favour of the petitioner, the petitioner was not justified in taking possession of land and putting a fencing it with barbed wire. If he incurred any expenditure in doing so, he has to thank himself. Accordingly, the petition fails and is dismissed. **Sd/-** **P.C. Naik** **Judge**	

GRPRJ—FS/311—1/2001—50,000.